

### **Minutes**

Meeting Regular Council Meeting

 Date
 March 7, 2023

 Time
 7:00 PM

Place Municipal Hall - Council Chambers and by electronic means

**Present** Mayor Martin Davis

Councillor Meggan Joseph Councillor Sarah Fowler Councillor Cheryl Northcott Councillor Douglas Elliott

Staff Mark Tatchell, Chief Administrative Officer

Amanda Knibbs, IT Coordinator

Janet St-Denis, Corporate Services Manager

(by video) (by video)7:30

<u>Public</u> 13 members of the public.

(5 online)

#### A. Call to Order

Mayor Davis called the meeting to order at 7:01 p.m.

### Land Acknowledgement

Mayor Davis acknowledged and respected that Council is meeting upon Mowachaht/ Muchalaht territory.

### **B. Introduction of Late Items**

None.

### C. Approval of the Agenda

Fowler/Northcott: VOT 0091/2023

**THAT** the Agenda for the March 7, 2023 Regular meeting of Council be adopted as presented.

CARRIED

1 "no vote" registered to Councillor Elliott

# D. Petitions and Delegations

None.

## E. Public Input # 1

Mayor Davis spoke to the rules for public input.

A member of the public asked why her correspondence item was not on the agenda to which Council responded.

There was a question about the value of members of Council attending the UBCM convention.

A member of the public spoke correspondence item "L1" regarding the commercial utilities rates.

A member of the public read a news article.

## F. Adoption of the Minutes

1 Minutes of the Regular Council Meeting held on February 21, 2023.

Fowler/Northcott: VOT 0092/2023

**THAT** the Regular Council Meeting minutes of February 21, 2023 be adopted as presented

**CARRIED** 

#### H. Business Arising

None.

### J. Council Reports

#### **Mayor Davis**

I will start with an update on recapitalization of the Island Coastal Economic Trust, on which I am a board member. This trust, which funds economic development projects across Vancouver Island and the mainland coast, is running out of money and is down to its last million dollars available to fund new projects. We have been lobbying since last September for renewed funding as it is the first economic trust across BC to be running out as its funding levels have been much lower than most trusts. Unfortunately, recapitalization did not make it into the provincial budget but all is not lost yet. Myself and many other mayors have been meeting with Island MLA's to push lobbying efforts as the province does have a healthy surplus of \$5 billion to draw from. The province has said that they don't want the trust to shut down although we have a statutory obligation to begin the process of dissolution this month. I believe they will come up with funding to at least maintain its existence, even if it isn't enough to make it financially self-sustaining as are many much larger trusts in eastern and northern BC.

On the bright side, Tahsis will be receiving a Growing Communities Fund grant of \$650,000, more than we expected. All communities receive this funding and ours was increased based on our growing population in the village.

The village recently applied for and received a \$10,000 grant for firefighting equipment from the Union of BC Municipalities.

I spoke at the last meeting regarding automated tsunami sensing to warn us of incoming waves generated by large rockfalls or sediment slumping within Tahsis Inlet. After years of getting no traction with higher levels of government, I have located experts in the field and also learned that research has been ongoing near Prince Rupert, where they are planning a new international shipping terminal on an inlet with a history of landslides. In 1975, an underwater landslide in Douglas Channel triggered tsunami waves that reached a height of over 8m at the head of the inlet, destroying a dock and a newly built barge terminal. I have already had a good exchange of information regarding sensing technologies with Natural Resources Canada, Ocean Networks Canada and the DFO and will be hosting a follow-up meeting with experts in the field to come up with sensing strategies for Tahsis Inlet.

Yesterday we had a meeting with Nismaas, the economic development agency of the Mowachaht-Muchalaht First Nation to further discuss common interests for this region. These discussions included accommodations, aquaculture, the old mill site and the proposed community forest.

At a closed meeting in May 2021, Council agreed to subdivide the Village owned parcel located at 663 Alpine View Road by the flood detention pond into two serviced lots and offer those lots for sale. The notices of disposition required under s. 26 of the Community Charter have been prepared by the Village's solicitor. As in previous matters, the notices have been posted electronically and in physical places in the community. This is also in adherence with Council's recently adopted Property Management Policy. The deadline for potential purchase offers is April 12th. Offers to purchase must be made using the form available from the Village.

(Verbal Report)

Mayor Davis made a verbal apology to Councillor Elliott for comments he made to Councillor Elliott in a public setting.

#### **Councillor Joseph**

No report,

#### **Councillor Fowler**

At the Oceans Protection Plan dialogue forum, I learned that the marbled murrelet eat sandlances.

submitted respectfully.

Councillor Fowler

### **Councillor Northcott**

Back in September, during UBCM, I attended an all-day agricultural study tour. As noted in my September 20th report to council

"...the highlight for me was the agricultural tour in Squamish. They have done amazing things in a very short time within their community, and I have hopes that we can come up with some community food security options in the near future."

With food security being a strategic priority for council, I sent an email to Kristle tenBrink, executive director of Squamish CAN requesting a meeting while I was on the mainland.

Due to snow, I was unable to go to Squamish as originally planned. However, Kristle and I met via Zoom and she shared a lot of valuable information about food security systems. I will provide an update once I've had time to digest it and do additional research. In the meantime, for those who are interested, I encourage you to check out the Squamish CAN website: www.squamishcan.net/food

From: Cheryl Northcott

Sent: Thursday, February 9, 2023 2:43 PM

To: krystle@squamishcan.net < krystle@squamishcan.net>

Subject: Request for meeting - re: food projects

Hi Krystle,

We met in September during the 2022 UBCM agricultural study tour.

I'd like to finally get started on some food security projects for our community and was really impressed with what Squamish Can has going on in Squamish.

I am going to be on the mainland between February 27-March 3 and was hoping you might be able to meet with me during that time to discuss your food projects and what kinds of projects might be viable for Tahsis.

Regards,

Cheryl Northcott

Councillor, Village of Tahsis

## **Councillor Elliott**

Ladies and Gentlemen,

March 7th, 2023

My recent mail outs have raised concerns with this administration pertaining to the information that I shared with the village. I have resorted to using the mail outs, as it is in my opinion that I have been discriminated against by the Mayor when I seek to answer questions from the public at the regular council meetings. The Mayor stated that I was to answer these questions on my own time. These mail outs are at my own expense and reflect what I have observed since being elected.

It is now public knowledge that the CAO, the Mayor and the two re-elected Councillors have obtained legal advice to guide them on what legal action they can take against me. This includes their demands that I publicly apologize for their allegations concerning their perception of defamatory comments towards them and the CAO. Based on the extensive documentation that was presented to me, it is abundantly clear to me that the Village is it sparing no expense. In this documentation it claims that I am to be given a reasonable amount of time to respond. Currently, the majority of the administration has legal action against them and you, the taxpayers, are fully funding their defense yet, the CAO has informed me that I am not entitled to legal representation and I have been advised me to seek legal counsel immediately. This type of undemocratic behaviour from the administration is very disturbing and another shameful attempt to censor the flow of information concerning the affairs of the village. It is abundantly clear that this administration should be financing their own legal representations for their defense and they are not entitled as a municipal corporation to launch any legal action against me concerning my last mail out. This is another example of how this administration is wasting your tax dollars on unnecessary legal expenses that are not in our best interest.

As a new councillor, I recognize that I have made minor infractions of the Community Charter and the Code of Conduct. It is also my opinion that I am not the only one. I have spent a considerable amount of time trying to educate myself on the procedures and the contents of the Community Charter. At the council meetings, I try to remain calm, refrain from the use of profanity and name calling. I come prepared to share my perspective on the affairs of the village and observe the actions of this council so that I may inform the residents of our progress. So, rather than pursuing my goals that I stated in my campaign, I will address the legal documentation that was presented to me and state my replies over the next few meetings through my Council Reports. If apologies are warranted, I will make them sincerely. I will use this time to clarify my position on the issues. I will continue to make a concerted effort to conduct the affairs of the village in a respectful manner and according to the Code of Conduct. Until the Robert's Rules of Order are applied by the Mayor, the mail outs will continue and I pledge to you, the taxpayer, that I will make decisions that I feel are in the best interest of the financial wellbeing of the community.

And for the record, I have never considered the Mayor in a Conflict of Interest concerning the fire hall plan. I apologize to the Mayor if this has been misunderstood and wish to set the record straight. In a democracy, there will always be opposing views on the issues of the day. This is vital when creating solutions. It is no secret that the Mayor and I have different views concerning the fire hall plan. I believe that the volunteer fire department requires a proper facility to conduct their affairs and protect our investments. This is absolutely essential if we expect to receive a high quality service from our volunteers. It was after the election that I first had access to the fire hall plan. It has now come to my attention that I am perceived to be in Conflict of Interest concerning the capital projects pertaining to statements I have made since the election. Consider this. After all, you paid for it.

According to the Supreme Courts of Canada, decision makers are required to maintain an open mind and be free of bias, actual or perceived. We have a duty to be impartial. The Supreme Court recognizes that elected officials arrive in office with various points of view, perceptions, ideas and strongly held beliefs and it is unrealistic to expect elected officials to shed these upon taking office. The test for bias is whether an elected official has prejudged the matter for consideration so completely as to be no longer capable of persuasion.

To address this, once I was elected, I was given a binder containing information concerning the capital projects that the previous council had decided upon. I had no opinions concerning the 100K dollar fire hall plan before the election. I was also unfamiliar with the condition of the existing fire hall. I proceeded to read through the plan and came to the conclusion that it would be in the best interest of the community to repair the existing facility. I also believe that the property on School Hill Road is an amazing opportunity. The shop on that property exceeds the building codes and the trailer would make an excellent training facility as well as address the administrative and sanitary requirements of the volunteers. In my opinion, the location would protect our investments from a tsunami event or any other natural disasters. Unfortunately, when I was an employee of the village, I was aware of the fact that the owner did not have a high opinion of the existing administration of this village. Given the present state of affairs, I do not believe that a deal to purchase the land is possible. The Mayor has also stated to me that the grant would not cover the purchase of any land. If our existing fire hall had been repaired, it would have been a wonderful opportunity to sell the existing facility and purchase the School Hill Road property and have a long term solution that would protect our investment from any natural disasters. It was an amazing opportunity but I realized it has come to pass and is no longer possible.

I have a number of concerns with the conversion of the wood shop at the school. To begin with, we would not be the owner of the facility and we would be subject to lease payments for decades to come. It is possible that the school district could decide to rebuild or expand the existing school, thus leading to the possibility of an eviction. This could end up costing the taxpayers millions of dollars to relocate and the availability of suitable land could be very limited. The wood shop doesn't offer any significant amount of protection against a tsunami event over the existing facility. Gaining access to the wood shop during a flooding event would be very restrictive. The wood shop is very close to an aggressive slope that has a number of boulders that have landed close by and from my perspective this type of event could repeat itself due to an earthquake. I also feel that the health and safety of the students would be in jeopardy having a first responder facility located on the property. It is no secret that members of the fire department have been known to drive above the speed limits to and from the fire hall in the interest of the public; however, this could put our students at risk and possibly lead to a tragic event. It is common knowledge amongst all first responders that they try to avoid school zones whenever possible. Fire departments have been known to store hazardous chemicals and this is also a concern when it comes to the safety of our students. Another aspect of the plan was the fact that the wood shop is considerably older than our existing facility and was slated to be torn down. These are some of the reasons why I disagree with the 100K dollar fire hall plan.

There are financial considerations as well. We would also lose a considerable amount of equity in our most modern facility and be responsible for cost overruns on a leased property with high interest rates.

After numerous discussions with local contractors and having some experience with foundations and drainage issues. I believe that the existing fire hall can be repaired and brought back online within the financial limits of the grant that the village applied for. I also believe that the residents of this village should be given the opportunity to choose whether we relocate to the wood shop or repair the existing facility within a referendum. As a councillor of the village, I would feel morally obligated to support the outcome of the referendum. I believe that it is in all of our interest for the Mayor to announce the date of a referendum so that we can move forward and start working on a solution that has the approval of the community. In the meantime, I do not feel that I am in a Conflict of Interest pertaining to the fire hall plan. Unlike the two re-elected councillors, I have no family ties to the fire department. I have no more pecuniary interest than any other taxpayer in this village and I too want to bring this matter to a successful conclusion so that our volunteer fire department has a suitable location to serve our community.

As far as the waste water treatment project. I stated at the council meeting that I was in favour of the grant. It is an excellent opportunity to phase out the dysfunctional north sewage treatment plant and redirect the waste water to the south plant. The south plant is an excellent design that can accommodate up to approx. 5000 residents. This is a great opportunity for the village however, I am not in favour of borrowing 490K dollars and be responsible for cost overruns. We need to replenish our cash reserves so that we can afford these opportunities when they present themselves. I voted against the village's plan to borrow the funds for this 1.3 million dollar phase one grant because I firmly believe that these type of grants will be available in the future. The second phase of the grant was not approved by the Ministry. I was outvoted on this motion and the village is making arrangements to proceed with a loan authorization for 490K to get the ball rolling. At 4.5 percent interest over 30 years that will amount to over 400K dollars in interest. A payment of approx. \$ 2480.00 per month with a total of 893K dollars paid back for our portion of the grant. We should mandate that our councils save the funds before committing to these types of grants and not to enter in a deficit that exceed their term in office. This will have a negative impact on the abilities of future councils and effect our taxation for next thirty years. I have clearly stated why I am against this project and declare myself not in Conflict of Interest. This is colossal mistake that has long term effects on this community. In my opinion, if the council proceeds to put this village in debt, it will go down in history as the most irresponsible administration in the history of this village.

As far as the Community Dock project. This has been a rather controversial topic in our community considering how the council voted to proceed with a plan that did not impress the public. After criticizing the plan in my last mail out, I was getting ready to throw in the towel and just accept it. However, a number of residents approached me with their concerns and frustrations that this plan would not serve the interest of our community and it would have a negative impact on our economic growth. Even though tensions were high between the Mayor and myself, I didn't want to miss the opportunity to have the plan reconsidered. What the mayor considers to be an unsolicited visit, I felt our discussions were friendly and showing signs that would improve our ability to work together as a team. I was also hoping to lower tensions and emotions when debating opposing views. It is commendable when one feels passionate in their beliefs but that shouldn't have a negative impact on their ability to discuss opposing ideas in a respectful manner. I thought I was making progress and it was great to even share a few laughs. It had been a long time since I enjoyed a friendly visit and in my mind, it was long overdue. We discussed the community dock project and I believed that our Mayor recognized that the plan could be improved.

I proposed that he needed to bring forth a motion to reconsider. I informed him that the Community Charters states that this type of motion can only be put forth by an official that voted in favour of the original motion. I then proposed to the Mayor that I could put forth a motion that the matter go to a committee made up of experienced residents and myself. I promised that the sketch would be put forth to the engineers without delay and I would contact Councillor Joseph (who also voted no) and discuss with her the needed support to second the motion. I did make a comment that we would greatly benefit from this. In fact, any councillor that supported this motion to reconsider would benefit. I stated to Councillor Joseph that if the Mayor lived up to his word, it would be beneficial for all of us to fix this colossal mistake, and if he didn't, well, I sure had a lot of ammo for the next mail out. Because of this statement, I have been perceived to be in a Conflict of Interest. The Mayor's conduct at the next meeting was not only disappointing, but I have lost all faith in ever having a truthful conversation with him again and he has lost my respect. I have no pecuniary interest in this project however I do share the concerns of this community that the project include an improved ramp angle, dual lanes to ensure efficient launching activities, the ability to load/unload from a cargo ship directly on to a commercial flat deck, provide easy access for floatplanes and ambulance service, be expandable, provide a few temporary moorage spots and expand the parking lot. It is my opinion that I am not in Conflict of Interest concerning this project. I am disappointed that the 175K dollar plan is not very impressive and fails to address the public's concerns. I believe this council needs to reconsider this plan and put forth a design that will benefit the community.

On Feb 28, 2023. The village staff informed me that they were having a closed meeting concerning the legal advice that they received concerning my last mail out. I was advised to attend with my attorney. This improperly announced meeting violates the Community Charter section (92) and any motions agreed upon during this meeting would be invalid. It is my opinion that this council is trying to censor me through legal intimidation at the taxpayer's expense. Since I have lost all trust and respect for the majority of this administration. I no longer feel safe in any closed meeting without a third party present. Therefore I will not compromise my safety and take part in a meeting that violates my constitutional rights to freedom of speech and attempts to censor my activities concerning the village affairs.

In the meantime, I will continue to work on the next mail out so you may participate in the discussions concerning the wellbeing of our community. If the Mayor were to open up debate with the public and acknowledge all the correspondence by reading it aloud, this will give the council the necessary information to make decisions in the best interest of the community as a whole. I hope that you, the taxpayer, share your thoughts and ideas. It is the council's responsibility to serve you in a financially responsible manner. And remember this, the village itself, as Municipal Corporation, does not have a right of action in defamation against me for the comments that I made in the last mail out according to their own legal counsel. If I wanted to sue any member of this administration, I would have to pay for my own lawyer. Why are these individuals using your tax dollars to finance their legal attack on me?

At the upcoming council meeting on March 21st, I will reply to Councillor Fowler's and Councillor Northcott's request for a public apology. I have received over a 100 pages from their legal counsel and will prepare my defense as I am more than confident that a Judge will find their case undemocratic and a complete waste of your tax dollars. It will be interesting to find out how much has been spent so far. Thank you for coming out tonight.

Councillor Doug Elliott

Fowler/Elliott: VOT 0093/2023

THAT the Council Reports be received.

CARRIED
1 "no vote"
registered to Mayor
Davis

#### K. Bylaws

Report to Council Re: Long Term Borrowing for Upcoming Capital Projects and Loan Authorization Bylaw Procedures.

Fowler/Northcott: VOT 0094/2023

THAT this Report to Council be Received.

**CARRIED** 

The Director of Finance spoke to her Report to Council and responded to questions from Council. A discussion followed.

Fowler/Northcott: VOT 0095/2023

**THAT** Council receive and consider a motion to amend Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 653, 2022.

**CARRIED** 

1 "no vote" registered to Councillor Elliott

Fowler/Northcott: VOT 0096/2023

**THAT** Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 653, 2022 be amended by deleting the words "RECEIVED the approval of the electors this day of month, year"

**CARRIED** 

1 "no vote" registered to Councillor Elliott

2 Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 653, 2022 Adoption

Fowler/Northcott: VOT 0097/2023

THAT Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 653, 2022 be received and considered for adoption.

**CARRIED** 

1 "no vote"
registered to
Councillor Elliott
1 "no vote"
registered to
Councillor Joseph

Fowler/Northcott: VOT 0098/2023

Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 653, 2022 be reconsidered and finally passed and adopted this 7th day of March, 2023.

**CARRIED** 

1 "no vote"
registered to
Councillor Elliott
1 "no vote"
registered to
Councillor Joseph

3 Fees and Charges Amendment Bylaw No. 654, 2023 1st, 2nd and 3rd Readings

Fowler/Northcott: VOT 0099/2023

**THAT** the Fees and Charges Amendment Bylaw No. 654, 2023 be received for consideration.

CARRIED

Fowler/Joseph: VOT 0100/2023

THAT the Fees and Charges Amendment Bylaw No. 654, 2023 receive a first reading this 7th day of March, 2023.

**CARRIED** 

Fowler/Joseph: VOT 0101/2023

**THAT** the Fees and Charges Amendment Bylaw No. 654, 2023 receive a second reading this 7th day of March, 2023.

**CARRIED** 

Joseph/Fowler: VOT 0102/2023

THAT the Fees and Charges Amendment Bylaw No. 654, 2023 receive a third reading this 7th day of March, 2023.

**CARRIED** 

4 Fees and Charges Amendment Bylaw No. 655, 2023

1st, 2nd and 3rd Readings

Joseph/Fowler: VOT 0103/2023

THAT the Fees and Charges Amendment Bylaw No. 655, 2023 be received for

consideration.

CARRIED

A discussion regarding the commercial utility rates followed.

Fowler/Northcott: VOT 0104/2023

**THAT** the Fees and Charges Amendment Bylaw No. 655, 2023 receive a first reading this 7th day of March, 2023.

**CARRIED** 

1 "no vote"
registered to
Councillor Joseph
1 "no vote"
registered to
Councillor Elliott

Fowler/Northcott: VOT 0105/2023

**THAT** the Fees and Charges Amendment Bylaw No. 655, 2023 receive a second reading this 7th day of March, 2023.

**CARRIED** 

1 "no vote"
registered to
Councillor Joseph
1 "no vote"
registered to
Councillor Elliott

Fowler/Northcott: VOT 0106/2023

THAT the Fees and Charges Amendment Bylaw No. 655, 2023 receive a third reading this 7th day of March, 2023.

CARRIED

1 "no vote"
registered to
Councillor Joseph
1 "no vote"
registered to
Councillor Elliott

L. Correspondence

February 19, 2023 letter from Santosh and Rajinder Sharma to Mayor and Council Re: Review of Village of Tahsis business utilities.

- February 27, 2023 letter from Stephanie Olson to Mayor and Council Re: Shared Kitchen in Tahsis (SKiT).
- January 26, 2023 letter from Mervyn Brown to Mayor and Council Re: Bylaw Complaint- 344 Alpine View Road.
- February 14, 2023 email from Jay Chalke, Ombudsperson, BC to Mayor and Council Re: Useful Resources and Fairness Training.
- Chan Nowosad Boates Re: Village of Tahsis Audit Planning Report for the year ended December 31, 2022.
- 6 UBCM Re: 2022 Volunteer & Composite Fire Department Training and Equipment-Approval Agreement & Terms and Conditions of Funding.
- February 24, 2023 email from Alison James, Manager, Partner Engagement, Island Health Re: Community Wellness Grant program call for applications.

Fowler/Northcott: VOT 0107/2023

**THAT** these correspondence items be received.

**CARRIED** 

Fowler/Elliott: VOT 0108/2023

THAT correspondence items #1, #2 and 3 be pulled for discussion.

CARRIED
1 "no vote"
registered to
Councillor Northcott

February 19, 2023 letter from Santosh and Rajinder Sharma to Mayor and Council Re: Review of Village of Tahsis business utilities.

Councillor Elliott spoke to this correspondence item. A discussion followed that included the topics of fairness, property categories, water meters and future commercial utility rates.

February 27, 2023 letter from Stephanie Olson to Mayor and Council Re: Shared Kitchen in Tahsis (SKiT).

A discussion followed.

January 26, 2023 letter from Mervyn Brown to Mayor and Council Re: Bylaw Complaint- 344 Alpine View Road.

Council noted that there could be a backlog of bylaw complaints that the new bylaw enforcement officer is working through.

#### M. New Business

### 1 Report to Council Re: Regional Grant Opportunity - Emergency Support Services

Fowler/Northcott: VOT 0109/2023

THAT this Report to Council be received. CARRIED

Elliott/Joseph: VOT 0110/2023

THAT as part of the Village of Tahsis ongoing work in relation to emergency planning that an application for financial assistance under the Community Emergency Preparedness Fund 2023 Emergency Support Services grand be authorized for submission to the UBCM, in collaboration with the Strathcona Regional District (SRD): and

THAT the Village of Tahsis agrees to the Strathcona Regional District submitting an application on their behalf, and that if funded, agrees to the Strathcona Regional District managing the grant and being the recipient of all funding.

CARRIED

**CARRIED** 

Report to Council Re: Guidance for the public attending Council meetings remotely.

Joseph/Northcott: VOT 0111/2023

THAT this Report to Council be received.

Joseph/Northcott: VOT 0112/2023

**THAT** the document titled "How to participate in Tahsis Council Meetings and Public Hearings Virtually" be approved for posting on the Village website. **CARRIED** 

Councillor Fowler's Resolution Re: UBCM Housing BC Together summit at the Sheraton, Vancouver Wall Center on April 4-5th, 2023.

WHEREAS the UBCM Housing BC Together Summit is being held at the Sheraton Vancouver Wall Center April 4-5th, 2023, and

**WHEREAS** the Village of Tahsis represents rural and remote challenges and small-town opportunities which are uniquely able to provide important perspective to the investments of resources being made by the provincial government with respect to much larger housing crisis.

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** to enroll all Tahsis council interested or available in the upcoming Housing Supply Act forum in April.

Fowler/Northcott: VOT 0113/2023

THAT this resolution be received and considered. FAILED

4 Quarterly UBCM Executive Committee Meetings

**WHEREAS** the Village of Tahsis has a council member on the UBCM Executive Committee; and

Travel to the quarterly UBCM Executive Committee meetings are reimbursable by UBCM;

**THEREFORE** the Village of Tahsis support any such travel which will be reimbursed to the Village.

Joseph/Northcott: VOT 0114/2023

**THAT** this resolution be received and considered.

**CARRIED** 

A discussion followed.

Joseph/Northcott: VOT 0115/2023

THAT this resolution be approved.

**CARRIED** 

Public Input #2

A member of the pubic commented on the use of water meters for businesses There was a comment regarding the content and level of detail in the meeting minutes.

A member of the public commented on Council's selection of correspondence items pulled for discussion

A member of the public spoke to the benefits of working together in a democratic society.

**Adjournment** 

Fowler/Northcott: VOT 0116/2023

**THAT** the meeting be adjourned at 9:32 p.m.

**CARRIED** 

**Certified Correct this** 

the 21st day of March, 2023

**Chief Administrative Officer**